

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR COCKE COUNTY, TENNESSEE

DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

JEREMY BLACK,

Plaintiff / Counter-Defendant,

v.

TESHIA BLACK,

Defendant / Counter-Plaintiff.

Case No.: 37,107-II

Judge: Hon. James L. Gass

Date April 14, 2026

Motion for Issuance of Subpoena for Maryland Diane Parker as a Material Witness on Custody and Best-Interest Issues

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Jeremy Scott Black, appearing pro se, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court for the issuance of a subpoena requiring Maryland Diane Parker to appear and testify at the hearing scheduled for June 17, 2026, and in support states as follows:

1. Plaintiff is the pro se party in the above-styled matter.
2. A hearing is scheduled in this matter for June 17, 2026.
3. Plaintiff requests that the Court direct the issuance of a subpoena requiring the attendance of Maryland Diane Parker, whose last known addresses are 1729 High St., White Pine, Tennessee 37890 or 106 Clearview Dr. Newport TN 37821

4. Ms. Parker's testimony is pertinent, material, and necessary to the Court's determination of issues relating to custody, parenting, credibility, parental conflict, and the best interests of the minor children.

5. Ms. Parker has personal knowledge of communications and events involving the parties, including the circumstances surrounding prior domestic conflict, the manner in which allegations were communicated to law enforcement, and statements made in the presence of or about the children.

6. Ms. Parker's testimony is relevant to whether one parent has involved third parties to amplify conflict, reinforce allegations, or promote narratives that may affect the children's emotional welfare, stability, and relationship with both parents.

7. Ms. Parker's testimony is further relevant to the Court's assessment of credibility, bias, motive, consistency of statements, and the overall context in which allegations and communications concerning the children have been made.

8. Plaintiff respectfully states that Ms. Parker should not avoid appearance at the hearing, as her attendance is required so the Court may hear directly from a witness with personal knowledge of facts material to the children's best interests and the issues pending before the Court.

9. Ms. Parker's testimony is especially important because the evidence suggests she participated in or reinforced narratives that affected law enforcement decisions, the escalation of conflict, and the parties' credibility in a manner directly relevant to custody. Her absence would deprive the Court of testimony bearing on whether either parent has used third parties to manipulate events or interfere with the children's stability and best interests.

10. Plaintiff states This Court should not permit the record in a custody case to be built on secondhand repetition, selective narration, or witness coordination without live testimony.

11. Plaintiff states Ms. Parker is not a peripheral witness. Her involvement goes to the heart of the custody dispute and the credibility of the allegations used against Plaintiff. Plaintiff alleges that Ms. Parker repeated and backed up a false or materially misleading account that helped support the charges and accusations stemming from July 6, 2024, and that those accusations have ultimately resulted in Plaintiff not seeing his children since July 6, 2024.

12. Plaintiff states that Ms. Parker's statement was part of the chain of events that backed up and reinforced allegations that have kept Plaintiff from seeing his children since July 6, 2024. In a case involving custody and the best interests of minor children, the Court is entitled to hear from witnesses who may have helped shape, repeat, or validate the accusations at issue. Ms. Parker's testimony is therefore not merely relevant; it is essential to determining the truth of what was said, what was omitted, and whether the allegations used to restrict Plaintiff's relationship with his children were accurate or false in material respects.

13. Plaintiff states Ms. Parker's appearance is pertinent and necessary because she may have direct knowledge of what Teshia Black said, what was relayed to law enforcement, and whether the account used to justify the restrictions on Plaintiff's parental access was accurate, incomplete, or false in material part.

14. Plaintiff requests that the Clerk of Court issue the subpoena for service upon Ms. Parker in accordance with Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 45 and any other applicable law.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Honorable Court grant this Motion and direct the Clerk to issue a subpoena commanding Maryland Diane Parker to appear and testify at the June 17, 2026 hearing, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of April 2026.

Jeremy Black

Jeremy S. Black

Pro Se Plaintiff

35760 ½ Royalton Rd

Grafton, OH 44044

Phone: 423-465-2517

Email: jeremyblackemail@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Issuance of Subpoena for Maryland Diane Parker and Tammy Webb was served upon counsel of record via electronic mail and United States Mail on this 14th day of April 2026, as follows:

Crystal Jessee, Esq.
Jessee Law Office
P.O. Box 1537
Greeneville, TN 37744
Email: cgilaw@jesseelawoffice.com

Service by U.S. Mail was properly addressed with sufficient postage and deposited in the United States Mail on the date indicated above.

Service by electronic mail was sent to the email address listed above.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of April 2026.

Jeremy Black

Jeremy S. Black
Pro Se Plaintiff
35760 ½ Royalton Rd
Grafton, OH 44044
Phone: 423-465-2517
Email: jeremyblackemail@gmail.com